

References on patient/public involvement

- Bridges JFP, Jones C. Patient based health technology assessment: A vision of the future. *International Journal of Technology Assessment in Health Care*. 2007;23: 30-35.
- Coulter A. Perspectives on HTA: response from the patient's perspective. *International Journal of Technology Assessment in Health Care*. 2004;20;92-96.
- Coulter A. *Engaging patients in their healthcare – how is the UK doing relative to other countries?* 2006. Picker Institute: Oxford. www.pickereurope.org
- Hailey D. *Consumer involvement in HTA*. 2005. Alberta Heritage Foundation for Medical Research HTA Unit: Canada. <http://www.ihe.ca/documents/hta/HTA-FR21.pdf>
- Hailey D, Nordwall M. Survey on the involvement of consumers in health technology assessment programs. *International Journal of Technology Assessment in Health Care*. 2006;22:497-499.
- Hewlett S, DeWit M, Richards P, Quest E, Hughes R, Heiberg T, Kirwan J. Patients and professionals as Research Partners: challenges, practicalities and benefits.
- Hofmann B. Toward a procedure for integrating moral issues in health technology assessment. *International Journal of Technology Assessment in Health Care*. 2005;21:312-318.
- Kristensen FB, Sigmund H (eds). *HTA Handbook*. 2007: Danish Centre for HTA: Copenhagen.
- Mays N, Pope C. Assessing quality in qualitative research. *BMJ*. 2000;320:50-52.
- National Board of Health, DACEHTA. A review of organisational and patient-related assessments in HTAs published by INAHTA members. *Danish HTA*. 2007: 9(2).
- NICE. *A guide for patient/carer groups: contributing to a technology appraisal*. 2004. National Institute for Health and Clinical Excellence: London.
- NICE. *Social value judgements – Principles for the development of NICE guidance – 2nd ediction*. 2008. NICE: London.
- Noblitt G, Hare R. *Meta-ethnography: synthesizing qualitative studies*. 1988. Sage Publications: Newbury Park, California.
- O'Connor A, Stacey D. *Should patient decision aids be introduced in the health care system?* November 2005. WHO Regional Office for Europe (Health Evidence Network report). <http://www.euro.who.int/Document/E87791.pdf> , accessed 8 October 2008.
- Popay J, Rogers A, Williams G. Rationale and standards in the systematic review of qualitative literature in health services research. *Qualitative Health Research* 1998;8:341-351.
- Royle J, Oliver S. Consumer involvement in the health technology assessment program. *Int J Technol Assess in Health Care*. 2004;20;493-497.

Sampietro-Colom L, Phillips V, Hutchinson A. Eliciting women's preferences in health care: a review of the literature. *Int J Technol Assess in Health Care*. 2004;20:145-155.

Scottish Medicines Consortium. *Submission of evidence template and Guidance for patient interest groups on submission of evidence*. 2005.

Sassi F, McDaid D, Ricciardi W. Conjoint analysis of preferences for cardiac risk assessment in primary care. *Int J Technol Assess in Health Care*. 2005;21:211-218.

Telford R, Boote J, Cooper C. What does it mean to involve consumers successfully in NHS research? A consensus study. *Health Expectations*. 2004;7:209-220.