

## **Appendix 1**

### **Data Collection Matrix**

## Data Collection Matrix

The Data Collection Matrix (DCM) presented in the following pages summarizes our planned approach to the evaluation of the HTA Ambassador Project. The DCM is used to link evaluation objectives/questions with specific items to be covered in the literature review, Clinician pre-/post surveys, on-site observation, action plan summary, Clinician success cases interviews, and pre-/post Ambassador interviews. This cross-referencing keeps our research focused and manageable so that we use valuable resources to collect the most pertinent information. The DCM provides a documented common understanding of the scope of the research.

**Table 1: HTA Ambassador Project Data Collection Matrix**

Evaluation Objectives		Evaluation Questions	Methods of Data Collection
<b>Project Support/ Inputs</b>	1.1 To review the origin and development of the HTA Ambassador Project.	What impetus led to the development of the HTA Ambassador Project?  How does the HTA Ambassador Project compare with other research transfer strategies identified in the literature?	Document Review Literature Review Pre- Ambassador Interviews
	1.2 To determine if adequate project supports were in place.	Were administrative supports provided for this project appropriate and adequate for this project: a) The Ambassador Project Steering Committee; b) The Ambassador Project Advisory Committee; c) Project management; d) Communications management, including invitation process; e) Workshop materials (binders, templates, handouts, etc); f) Other supports provided by AHMFR (e.g., additional support funding).	Pre-/Post Ambassador Interviews Clinician Pre/Post Survey On-site Observations Consultant Interviews
<b>Implementation Process</b>	2.1 To determine the effectiveness of project marketing in soliciting appropriate participants.	Did project marketing activities attract appropriate workshop participants?  Were any marketing issues encountered?	Document Review Clinician Pre Survey On-site Observations Post Ambassador Interviews Consultant Interviews
	2.2 To determine if the project was implemented as planned.	Was the research transfer strategy implemented as planned?  Was the case approach a good instructional mechanism?  Were the subject area one-pagers and reports appropriate for participant's information needs?  What changes, if any, occurred during the course of the project to the delivery strategy, and why? Were any of these changes unanticipated?	Document Review On-site Observations Pre-/Post Ambassador Interviews Consultant Interviews
	2.3 To describe the action plans developed by the participants.	What types of action plans were developed in the workshops (individual, group, regional, etc.)?  Did the action plans provide appropriate links between workshop topics and participants' needs?	Action Plan Summary Clinician Post Survey Clinician Success Case Interviews
	2.4 To describe follow-up interventions that resulted from the workshops.	What follow-up interventions were initiated by the Ambassadors as a result of the workshops?	Follow-up Intervention Description Post Ambassador Interviews Consultant Interviews Clinician Success Case Interviews



Evaluation Objectives		Evaluation Questions	Methods of Data Collection
<b>Outputs</b>	3.1 To determine how successful the research transfer strategy was.	<p>Were the workshops implemented as planned?</p> <p>Were the workshops and follow-up activities deemed successful by participants? By Ambassadors?</p> <p>Within the project timeframe, what action plans were implemented? What was their outcome?</p> <p>Overall, how well were the information needs of participants served by the workshops and the follow-up activities?</p>	<p>Clinician Post Survey</p> <p>Clinician Success Case Interviews</p> <p>Pre-/Post Ambassador Interviews</p> <p>Consultant Interviews</p>
	3.2 To determine what challenges were encountered in project implementation.	<p>What challenges were encountered in implementing the HTA Ambassador Project?</p> <p>What changes could be made to improve the research transfer process?</p>	<p>Clinician Post Survey</p> <p>On-site Observations</p> <p>Post Ambassador Interviews</p> <p>Clinician Success Case Interviews</p> <p>Consultant Interviews</p>
	3.3 To determine the satisfaction of participants and Ambassadors with the strategy.	<p>How satisfied were the participants with the workshops? With post-workshop activities?</p> <p>How satisfied were the Ambassadors with the workshops? With post-workshop activities?</p>	<p>Clinician Post Survey</p> <p>Clinician Success Case Interviews</p> <p>Post Ambassador Interviews</p>
	3.4 To describe the lessons learned about this research transfer strategy.	<p>What was learned about the utility of this research transfer strategy?</p> <p>What unanticipated outcomes resulted from the strategy?</p>	<p>Clinician Post Survey</p> <p>Clinician Success Case Interviews</p> <p>Pre-/Post Ambassador Interviews</p> <p>Consultant Interviews</p>
<b>Short Term Outcomes</b>	4.1 To determine the effectiveness of this research transfer strategy.	<p>Did the research transfer strategy achieve its objectives, namely:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>a) Serve as a model of research transfer?</li> <li>b) Increase clinician awareness &amp; knowledge of best evidence in chronic pain management?</li> <li>c) Affect clinician attitudes and practices re: chronic pain management?</li> </ul>	<p>Clinician Post Survey</p> <p>Clinician Success Case Interviews</p> <p>Post Ambassador Interviews</p> <p>Consultant Interviews</p>
	4.2 To determine if the strategy promoted awareness of the AHFMR HTA Unit and its function	<p>Has this project increased awareness of the AHFMR HTA unit and its function?</p> <p>Do participants plan to follow-up with the HTA Unit as a result of this project? If so, how?</p> <p>Did the process facilitate the identification of other areas for assessment by HTA?</p>	<p>Clinician Post Survey</p> <p>Clinician Success Case Interviews</p> <p>Post Ambassador Interviews</p>
<b>Intermediate Term Outcomes</b>	5.1 To determine if improved strategies for research transfer have been developed.	<p>What conclusions can be drawn about the Ambassador Project's research transfer strategy?</p> <p>How applicable is this research transfer process to other topics in the area of chronic pain management? In other HTA topics?</p>	<p>Clinician Post Survey</p> <p>Clinician Success Case Interviews</p> <p>Pre-/Post Ambassador Interviews</p> <p>Consultant Interviews</p>



## **Appendix 2**

### **Pre-Workshop Ambassador Interview Protocols**



## Evaluation of the HTA Ambassador Project: Ambassador Pre-Workshop Interview Protocol

The Alberta Ambassador Project is designed to move research evidence into practice. We would like to interview each of the Ambassadors involved in this project. This interview should take approximately 30 minutes and any information that you provide will remain confidential as the results will be reported in summary fashion only.

Your decision to complete and return this questionnaire will be interpreted as an indication of your agreement to participate. In no way does this waive your legal rights nor release the investigators, or involved institutions from their legal and professional responsibilities. You are free to withdraw from the study at any time.

If you have further questions concerning matters related to this research, please contact:

Dr. Paul Taenzer, Primary Investigator (403) 943-9902 or Margaret Wanke, Project Manager (780) 496-9067

If you have any questions concerning your rights as a possible participant in this research, please contact Pat Evans, Associate Director, Internal Awards, Research Services, University of Calgary, at 220-3782.

The University of Calgary Conjoint Health Research Ethics Board has approved this research study.

---

(1.1)

1. From your perspective, what was the impetus that led to the development of the HTA Ambassador Project?

(1.2)

2. A number of administrative supports have been put in place for the HTA Ambassador Project research transfer strategy. Can you comment on the adequacy of the support you have received in the following areas to date to help you prepare for your role as an Ambassador:

- a) The Ambassador Project Steering Committee;
- b) The Ambassador Project Advisory Committee;
- c) Project management;
- d) Communications management;
- e) Workshop materials;
- f) Any other supports provided by AHMFR or other partners (*please specify*).

(2.2)

3. What are your hopes for this project?

(3.4)

4. What are your worries about this project?

(3.1)

5. From your perspective, what will success look like for the HTA Ambassador Project?

(5.1)

6. Do you have any other comments regarding the utility of this research transfer strategy?

Thank you very much for your contribution to this evaluation!



## **Appendix 3**

### **Post-Workshop Ambassador Interview Protocols**



## Evaluation of the HTA Ambassador Project: Ambassador Post-Workshop Interview Protocol

The Alberta Ambassador Project is designed to move research evidence into practice. We would like to interview each of the Ambassadors involved in this project. This interview should take approximately 30 minutes and any information that you provide will remain confidential as the results will be reported in summary fashion only.

Your decision to complete and return this questionnaire will be interpreted as an indication of your agreement to participate. In no way does this waive your legal rights nor release the investigators, or involved institutions from their legal and professional responsibilities. You are free to withdraw from the study at any time.

If you have further questions concerning matters related to this research, please contact:

Dr. Paul Taenzer, Primary Investigator (403) 943-9902 or Margaret Wanke, Project Manager (780) 496-9067

If you have any questions concerning your rights as a possible participant in this research, please contact Pat Evans, Associate Director, Internal Awards, Research Services, University of Calgary, at 220-3782.

The University of Calgary Conjoint Health Research Ethics Board has approved this research study.

---

(1.2)

1. A number of administrative supports were put in place for the HTA Ambassador Project research transfer strategy. Can you comment on the adequacy of the support you received in the following areas to help you carry out your role as an Ambassador:

- a) The Ambassador Project Steering Committee;
- b) The Ambassador Project Advisory Committee;
- c) Project management;
- d) Communications management;
- e) Workshop materials;
- f) Any other supports provided by AHMFR or other partners (*please specify*).

(2.1)

2. From your perspective, did the Ambassador Project marketing activities attract the appropriate participants? Were there any issues associated with project marketing?

(2.2)

3.

- a) Was the case approach an effective instructional mechanism?
- b) Was the interactive approach an effective instructional mechanism?
- c) Were the subject area "one pagers" appropriate for participants information needs?
- d) What changes, if any, occurred during the course of the project to the delivery strategy? Why? Were any of these changes unanticipated?

(2.2)

4. Overall, was the HTA Ambassador Project implemented as planned?



(2.4)  
 5. What follow-up strategies did you and your fellow Ambassadors set up as a result of the workshops?

(3.1, 3.3)  
 6. From your perspective, did the Ambassador Project achieve the success you anticipated? Why or why not? How satisfied are you with the workshops? With the post-workshop activities?

(3.2, 3.4)  
 7. What challenges were encountered in implementing the HTA Ambassador Project? What changes could be made to improve the research transfer process? Were there any surprises?

(3.4)  
 8. What did you learn about the utility of this research transfer strategy?

(4.1)  
 9. To what extent did the research transfer strategy achieve its objectives, namely:

	Not at all				A great deal	Don't know/ Not applicable
a) Serve as a model of research transfer?	1	2	3	4	5	DK/NA
b) Increase clinician awareness and knowledge of best evidence in chronic pain management?	1	2	3	4	5	DK/NA
c) Affect clinician attitudes re: chronic pain management?	1	2	3	4	5	DK/NA
d) Affect clinician practices re: chronic pain management?	1	2	3	4	5	DK/NA

Comments?

(4.2)  
 10. Has the Ambassador Project increased awareness of AHFMR's HTA Unit and its function? Did the project result in the identification of other areas for assessment by the HTA Unit?

(5.1)  
 11. Is this research transfer process applicable to other topics in the area of chronic pain management? To other HTA topics? (*Please specify topics.*)

(5.1)  
 12. Do you have any other comments regarding the HTA Ambassador Project's research transfer strategy?

Thank you very much for your contribution to this evaluation!



## **Appendix 4**

### **Pre-Workshop Participant Surveys**



# HTA AMBASSADOR PROJECT: PARTICIPANT PRE-WORKSHOP SURVEY

The Alberta Ambassador Program is designed to move research evidence into practice. Barrington Research Group, Inc. has been contracted to evaluate this important pilot project. We would appreciate it if you could complete the following pre-workshop survey. It should take approximately 5-10 minutes. Any information that you provide will remain confidential as the results will be reported in summary fashion only.

Your decision to complete and return this questionnaire will be interpreted as an indication of your agreement to participate. In no way does this waive your legal rights nor release the investigators, or involved institutions from their legal and professional responsibilities. You are free to withdraw from the study at any time.

If you have further questions concerning matters related to this research, please contact:

Dr. Paul Taenzer, Primary Investigator (403) 943-9902 or Margaret Wanke, Project Manager (780) 496-9067.

If you have any questions concerning your rights as a possible participant in this research, please contact Pat Evans, Associate Director, Internal Awards, Research Services, University of Calgary, at 220-3782.

The University of Calgary Conjoint Health Research Ethics Board has approved this research study.

If you have any questions regarding this survey, please contact Dr. Gail V. Barrington (Project Manager) or Scott Zimmer (Researcher) at [info@barringtonresearchgrp.com](mailto:info@barringtonresearchgrp.com) or (403) 289-2221.

Thank you very much!

## Your Contact Information

(2.1)

Please complete the following information. We hope to contact you again 4-6 weeks after this workshop for your feedback:

Name: \_\_\_\_\_  
 Institution: \_\_\_\_\_  
 Telephone: \_\_\_\_\_  
 E-mail: \_\_\_\_\_

Date of workshop: \_\_\_\_\_  
 Workshop location: \_\_\_\_\_

Your primary role: *(Please check only one)*

- |  |  |
|--|--|
| <input type="checkbox"/> Administrator | <input type="checkbox"/> Physical therapist    |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Nurse         | <input type="checkbox"/> Physician             |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Pharmacist    | <input type="checkbox"/> Other (specify) _____ |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Psychologist  |  |

Do you have contact with patients who experience chronic pain?

- Yes. If so, how many patients with \_\_\_\_\_  No



chronic pain would you have contact with in a three-month period? \_\_\_\_\_

### Pre-Workshop Information

(2.1)

1. What attracted you to attend this HTA Ambassador project workshop on chronic pain? *(Check all that apply.)*

- My need for information on chronic pain management
- My interest in the issue of applying best evidence
- Expertise of the Ambassadors
- Opportunity to network
- To receive continuing education credit
- Involvement of AHFMR as a sponsor
- Other (specify) \_\_\_\_\_

(4.1)

2. Please rate the organization or environment in which you work in terms of how it supports the sharing of best evidence or new research information on a five-point scale, where 1 = "Not at all" and 5 = "A great deal".

**Support for sharing best evidence**

	Not at all	2	3	4	A great deal	Don't know/ Not applicable
	1	2	3	4	5	DK/NA
There is a culture of sharing knowledge and new research information.	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Leadership encourages the use of knowledge and new information to improve services and programs.	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
There is appropriate infrastructure to support sharing knowledge and new information.	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

(4.1)

3. How would you describe your ability to influence your colleagues with regard to changing current practice in the area of chronic pain management?

- I have a great deal of ability to influence colleagues
- I have some ability to influence colleagues
- I have limited ability to influence colleagues
- I have little or no ability to influence colleagues

Comments?



(4.1)

4. How would you describe your ability to influence administrators in your organization/environment with regard to changing current practice in the area of chronic pain management?

- I have a great deal of ability to influence administrators
- I have some ability to influence administrators
- I have limited ability to influence administrators
- I have little or no ability to influence administrators

Comments?

(2.1)

5. Please rate your level of interest in chronic pain management on a five-point scale, where 1 = "Not very interested" and 5 = "Very interested".

	Not at all					A great deal	Don't know/ Not applicable
	1	2	3	4	5	DK/NA	
Interest in chronic pain management	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

(4.1)

6. Please indicate your current level of knowledge about the following items related to chronic pain management. A five-point scale is provided, where 1 = "Little or no knowledge" and 5 = "A great deal of knowledge".

**Your knowledge of issues related to chronic pain management**

	Little or no knowledge					A great deal of knowledge	Don't know/ Not Applicable
	1	2	3	4	5	DK/NA	
How psychotherapy can help patient chronic low back pain.	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
When I should refer to a multidisciplinary pain centre.	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
The evidence for cannabinoid use in chronic pain.	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
When I should send my chronic back pain patients for spinal manipulation.	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Whether physical therapy for chronic pain is better than exercise.	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>



7. Do you plan to share the knowledge you gain today with others?

8. Do you have any additional comments?

Thank you very much for your contribution to this evaluation!



## **Appendix 5**

### **Post-Workshop Participant Surveys**



# HTA AMBASSADOR PROJECT: PARTICIPANT POST-WORKSHOP SURVEY

You recently attended an HTA Ambassador Project workshop, designed to move research evidence into practice. Barrington Research Group, Inc. has been contracted to evaluate this important pilot project. We would appreciate it if you could complete the following post-workshop survey **by \_\_\_\_\_**. This survey should take approximately 5-10 minutes, and any information that you provide will remain confidential as the results will be reported in summary fashion only.

Your decision to complete and return this questionnaire will be interpreted as an indication of your agreement to participate. In no way does this waive your legal rights nor release the investigators, or involved institutions from their legal and professional responsibilities. You are free to withdraw from the study at any time.

If you have further questions concerning matters related to this research, please contact:

Dr. Paul Taenzer, Primary Investigator (403) 943-9902 or Margaret Wanke, Project Manager (780) 496-9067

If you have any questions concerning your rights as a possible participant in this research, please contact Pat Evans, Associate Director, Internal Awards, Research Services, University of Calgary, at 220-3782.

The University of Calgary Conjoint Health Research Ethics Board has approved this research study.

If you have any questions regarding this survey, please contact Dr. Gail V. Barrington (Project Manager) or Scott Zimmer (Researcher) at [info@barringtonresearchgrp.com](mailto:info@barringtonresearchgrp.com) or (403) 289-2221.

Thank you very much!

---

## Your Contact Information

In order to compare your results with the pre-workshop survey you completed, please complete the following contact information:

Name: \_\_\_\_\_  
 Institution: \_\_\_\_\_  
 Telephone: \_\_\_\_\_  
 E-mail: \_\_\_\_\_

Date of workshop: \_\_\_\_\_  
 Workshop location: \_\_\_\_\_



## Post-Workshop Information

(1.2, 2.2, 3.1, 3.3)

- Please indicate your satisfaction with the following components of the HTA Ambassador Project workshop on chronic pain management. A five-point scale has been provided where 1 = "Not satisfied at all/Strongly disagree" and 5 = "Very satisfied/Strongly agree".

### Your satisfaction with the HTA Ambassador Workshop

	Not satisfied at all				Very satisfied	Don't know/ Not applicable
	1	2	3	4	5	DK/NA
<b>Format of workshop:</b>						
The presentation by the Ambassador	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Case study	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Interactive approach	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Action planning	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Informal networking	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
<b>Length of the workshop</b>						
Length of the workshop	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
<b>Workshop materials:</b>						
Case studies	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Resource list	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
One-page summaries	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Workbook	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Presentation materials	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Action planning template	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
<b>Post-workshop activities</b>						
	Strongly disagree				Strongly agree	Don't know/ Not applicable
	1	2	3	4	5	DK/NA
The Ambassadors are a source of knowledge I respect	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
The material was presented in a type of language that held meaning for me	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
The content of the presentation was relevant for my practice	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
The content of the workshop was relevant for my organization/ environment	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
The workshop met my information needs	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>



(2.1)

2. Please rate your level of interest in chronic pain management on a five-point scale, where 1 = “Not very interested” and 5 = “Very interested”.

	Not at all				A great deal	Don't know/ Not applicable
	1	2	3	4	5	DK/NA
Interest in chronic pain management	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

(2.3)

3. Can you describe the Action Plan you and/or your group developed? Was it at the individual, group or regional level? What roles were considered in the plan (e.g., physician, nurse, administrator)? What aspects of chronic pain management did it address? Was the action plan helpful? How has it been implemented?

\_\_\_\_\_

(4.1)

4. As a result of your involvement with this workshop and its associated materials and post-workshop activities, please indicate **your level of knowledge now** regarding chronic pain management. A five-point scale is provided, where 1 = “Little or no knowledge” and 5 = “A great deal of knowledge”.

**Your knowledge of issues related to chronic pain management**

	Little or no knowledge				A great deal of knowledge	Don't know/ Not Applicable
	1	2	3	4	5	DK/NA
How psychotherapy can help patient chronic low back pain.	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
When I should refer to a multidisciplinary pain centre.	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
The evidence for cannabinoid use in chronic pain.	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
When I should send my chronic back pain patients for spinal manipulation.	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Whether physical therapy for chronic pain is better than exercise.	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>



(4.1)

5. In your view, to what extent did the HTA Ambassador workshop and follow-up activities achieve the following objectives (a five-point scale is provided, where 1 = "Not at all" and 5 = "A great deal").

**Achievement of HTA Ambassador Project Objectives**

	Not at all				A great deal	Don't know/ Not Applicable
	1	2	3	4	5	DK/NA
Served as an effective way to communicate research.	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Increased your awareness of best evidence in chronic pain management.	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Increased your knowledge of best evidence in chronic pain management.	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Changed your attitude about chronic pain management.	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Changed your practice in the area of chronic pain management.	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

(4.2)

6. Do you plan to follow up in the future with the HTA Unit at AFHMR?

Yes. If so, in what capacity?

No

- Access web page
- Download reports/information
- Request health technology assessments
- Other (please specify) \_\_\_\_\_

If No, why not?

\_\_\_\_\_

7. Do you plan to follow up in the future with the Ambassadors or anyone else who participated in the workshop that you attended. If so, how?

\_\_\_\_\_



(3.4)

8. Are you planning to, or have you already, shared information or materials from the workshop with others?

Yes. If so, to whom?

No

Administration

Nursing staff

Patients

Pharmacists

Physical Therapists

Physicians

Psychologists

Others (*please specify*) \_\_\_\_\_

If No, why not?

\_\_\_\_\_

(5.1)

9. Do you think that the HTA Ambassador Project is a useful way of bridging the gap between research and practice?

Yes.

No

If so, what other chronic pain management topics could benefit from the approach?

If No, why not?

\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_

What other health, or clinical practice, topics could also benefit from the approach?

\_\_\_\_\_

(5.1)

10. Do you have suggestions or comments that might help to improve future HTA Ambassador projects?

\_\_\_\_\_

Thank you very much for your contribution to this evaluation!



## **Appendix 6**

### **Participant Success Case Interview Protocol**



## Evaluation of the HTA Ambassador Project: Participant Success Case Interview Guide

The Alberta Ambassador Project is designed to move research evidence into practice. To gain a better understanding of clinicians' experience with the HTA Ambassador workshop and follow-up activities, we are interviewing several workshop participants to ask them about their experience with the HTA Ambassador Project. This interview should take approximately 20 minutes and any information that you provide will remain confidential as the results will be reported in summary fashion only. We are excited to get your views on project success.

Your decision to participate in no way waives your legal rights nor release the investigators, or involved institutions from their legal and professional responsibilities. You are free to withdraw from the study at any time.

If you have further questions concerning matters related to this research, please contact:

Dr. Paul Taenzer, Primary Investigator (403) 943-9902 or Margaret Wanke, Project Manager (780) 496-9067

If you have any questions concerning your rights as a possible participant in this research, please contact Pat Evans, Associate Director, Internal Awards, Research Services, University of Calgary, at 220-3782.

The University of Calgary Conjoint Health Research Ethics Board has approved this research study.

---

(3.1)

1. Can you tell me a little bit about what you took away from the workshop in terms of new information about chronic pain management? (Satisfaction levels—what worked well, what didn't; did the workshop meet your information needs?)

(2.3, 3.2)

2. At the workshop you attended, what Action Plans were developed by you / your group? (Probe: Type of plan; level; specificity; link to individual/regional needs; providers considered in plan?) Has anything happened since with regard to these or other plans that resulted from the workshop? Have you used them—to what extent? What has helped or hindered their implementation?

(2.4, 3.3)

3. Have you been involved in any follow-up activities related to the Ambassador project since you attended the workshop? (Probe: Type of activity, satisfaction level—how well were your information needs served?)

(3.1)

4. Have you made any changes in your practice as a result of the Ambassador project, or have you shared information or materials from the workshop with anyone else?

(3.4, 5.1)

5. Can you comment on the utility of this approach as a model for sharing information on best evidence? Can you see it being applied to other topics in the area of chronic pain management? To other health topics? What do you feel are the strengths or weaknesses of this approach?

(4.2)

6. Have you followed-up or do you plan to follow-up with the AHFMR's HTA Unit? (Probe: AHFMR website, download reports, request HTA's?) With the Ambassadors? (Probe: If yes, in what capacity?) With other participants in the workshop as a result of the HTA Ambassador Project? (Probe: If yes, in what capacity?)

7. Do you have any other comments regarding the HTA Ambassador Project?

Thank you very much for your contribution to this evaluation!

